1891-92
(L R)

File. No. 3 of 91
Serial. No. 1-30

Sub:- Refund of Excess Irrigation Cess for assessment on Shankhoge Service Inam Lands in the Kolar District

160

G. R. S. IX-5]

1891 — 92

Previous references.

File No. 3-of 91

191 .

Serial Nos. 1—30

(LR)

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1—30

Major ~~Part~~ B for January 18.98

SUBJECT.

Refund of ~~Service~~ excess Irrigation cess & assessment ~~paid on excess~~ on Shanbhogi Service Inam Land in the Kolar Dist

Countermarked
S.P.S.
Indexed
83

W D 88—GPB—50,000—24-7-16

To.

The Deputy Commr.
of the Kolar Distt.

Dated

Despd

His docket No. 1217 d/ 262

20th June 1892 Subj for sanction a Refund Bill for Rs 231-15-7 being the Irrigation Cess separately recovered from the Shanbogs of the Gudibanda Sub Taluk on their Service Inam lands in addition to the full survey valuation of the lands.

In returning the Bill & the ver: papers received with the above requests that the same may be re Submitted with Yadi No 194 d/ 8th March 1892 referred to in No 195 d/ 11th March 1892 from the Amildar of the Bagepalli Taluk, alluded to in his No 195 d/ 8th March 1892

By Order

H.D.

Under Secy

Submitted - ~~The~~ Full Survey valuation appears to have been collected on the authority of para 6 of Pro: No (Cas 950-60 d/ 16th September/85 without reference to the orders subsequently issued in Pro No 1733-42 47 2nd July/87. A draft calling upon the Dy Commr for information is subd. In their Districts, effect has been given to the Pro. of July/87

H.D. 15/7 Draft passed Mr N 16/7

This Yadi cannot be of much help - It gives details of credits in the Treasury Acts

Under what authority is full survey valuation levied on Service Inam lands? What is the practice in other Taluks of Kolar & in other Distts? Nevin

14/7

(General Serial No. 30).

189 .

From

To

Dated

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No.

No. Draft

H. D. Aich

See with [illegible] 14/7

attended to.

[illegible]

15/7

Rector

1 Is this fact correct

2 The amount of 1885 by wh the full assessment is rec'd on one side & full potge paid on the other is a matter of account.

3 In calculating the amt of Potge and appropriating existing emoluments towards it has the full assessment (ie wet rate + irrigation cess) been set off or only the wet rate (ie consolidated assessment minus the wet rate)? If the latter be the case irrigation cess of course is refundable ∴ the consolidated assessment has been recovered

[illegible] 16/3

Submitted

1. Yes.

2. Yes

3. In calculating the amount of Potge & appropriating existing

P.T.O.

emoluments towards it the consolidated assessment including irrigation cess has been set off. Hence the levy of a separate irrigation cess in addition to the consolidated assessment has been found to be irregular and the amount recommended for refund.

2. Under the authority of Rule XVII of the Survey Guarantee as revised by Notification No. 341[x] dated 11th February 1875, which is reprinted with Govt. Pro: No. 3786-95/101 dated 18th September 1877, the irrigation cess is merged in the Survey valuation of all wet lands in the Taluks settled subsequent to February 1875.

x Vide page 161 of the Rev Manual.

3. The Sub Taluk in question was settled in 1876-77.

4. Rule I of the Potaji Rules requires that the official land should be valued at the Survey assessment minus the Jodi tax or cesses. Before the Pakka Potaji Settlement, the Jodi and the cesses used to be

levied because the Jodi did not include the irrigation cess. But when Potgi was paid in cash and the assessment as imposed by the Survey was recovered, it was not necessary to collect the irrigation cess separately as the same had been merged in the wet assessment.

Submitted for orders.

H. B
15/4

15/4

CHIEF SECRETARY'S OFFICE.

REVENUE BRANCH.

OFFICE NOTE.

SUBJECT. Levy of full Survey valuation of the Shanbogi Service Inam lands in the Gudibanda Sub Taluk.

LAST REFERENCE. Letter No. 3194/763. dated 21st April/93.
from Dy Commr., Kolar Dist.

Dy Commr's No 1243/268 dy.
20th June 1892

The Deputy Commissioner submitted a refund bill ~~for Rs 429-2-0~~ in favor of Certain Shanbogues of the Gudibanda Sub Taluk for Rs 429-2-0 being the difference between the full Survey valuation levied and the assessment fixed by the Survey Department as payable upon Shanbhog Service Inam lands ~~in the~~

~~[illegible]~~ for 5 years from 1885-86 to 1889-90.

2. In reply the Deputy Commissioner was requested to explain why the full survey valuation was continued to be levied even after the publication of the amendment ordered in Proc. No. 1733-42/47 dated 2nd July 1887 and to report what had been done in this matter in the other Taluks of the Distt.

This Office No. 1673/R 271-92 Dt. 27 14 July 1892

3 The Deputy Commr. now states that through a misapprehension on the part of the Taluk Authorities of the Polgi rules and the circular instructions

Dy Commr's No. 3194/763 dt. 21st April 1893

issued from his office in accordance with the orders conveyed in Govt Pro: No 1733-42/47 of the 2nd July 1887, the full Survey valuation seems to have been levied in all the Tuluks in which the Pukka Potgi has been sanctioned till the mistake was pointed out by the District Officers at the Jamabandi time: and in requesting ~~that~~ sanction ~~[illegible]~~ ~~[illegible]~~ for the refund of the amount referred to in the bill, the Dy Comr adds that the Amildars have been warned against a repetition of the mistake in future

Orders are solicited for sanctioning the Bill.

6/5/93 The Dy Comr: does not

Other Taluks similar refunds are called for. The bill under reference relates to the Gudibanda Sub Taluk alone.

[illegible]
8/5/93

Sub'd for Consultns (Rev) orders

[illegible] 14/5

The refund Bill may be passed

[illegible]
18/5/93

Revd for Draft [illegible] 14/5 [illegible] 19/5

Mr.
Sub'd with draft

[illegible] 20/5 [illegible] 20/5/93

With his letter No 3537/857 of 9th May 1893, the Deputy Commr submits for sanction, a ~~Refund~~ ~~[illegible]~~ statement shewing the particulars of remission amounting to Rs 2207-0-7

proposed for the Gombedmur Taluk, the amount being the difference between the Survey valuation of the Shanbhog Service Inam lands wrongly brought on the accounts & the assessment fixed by the Survey Deptt. as leviable thereon.

Submitted with draft for approval or other orders.

23/6

Repd

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

Read the following paper:—

Proceedings of the Dewan, Dated 16th September 1886, Camp No. 950-60

No. 1733-42-47, Dated, Bangalore, 2nd July 1887.

Order thereon.— In para 6, line 1, for "the full Survey valuation of" Substitute "the assessment imposed by the Survey Settlement as leviable on."

(Sd.) R. Vijayendra Rao.
Chief Secy.

To, The Survey Supdt.
The Deputy Commrs of Districts
The Comptroller

Submitted

Subject – Refund of Irrigation Cess claimed by Shanbhog Girizappa, Gudibanda Taluk –

No order appears to have been sent from this office in 1890-91 to discontinue the levy of the cess on service Inam lands brought under the survey settlement subsequent to 1875. On the complaint of the Shanbhog the Dy Comr of Kolar appears to have issued an order – No: 32. – on 12th April 1890. Reference to this order is made in the Ver: Prog: & also in the Chickballapur Sub-Dn Offr's letter No: 412 of 11.4.94 to the Dy Comr. The Ver Prog: containing this order have not been sent up –

But refund in a similar case was ordered in 1893. Please see paper No 4 in file No: 302/1892-93 put up.

[illegible] 6/3/95

Ask the Dy Comr Wagat whether during the period for which the refund of the equivalent of the irrigation cess is claimed the Shanbhoge & Patel were treated in the same manner as other Rayats in this matter or whether there was any special feature in the case of the village officials exempting them from paying such cess – observe that if there is no such special feature the only profit the Shanbhoge is entitled to is one

half of the differe[illegible]
between the assessme[illegible]
the Judee amount - The a[illegible]
that because the irrigation is
with the wet assessment the levy of the
under any name would be leving
up twice over would have no special price
applicable only to the village officials.
If they in common with the ryots of
the village were in the habit of paying
the cess there is no reason for refunding
any portion of it to the village shantoque
alone - Signest ~~[illegible]~~ further explanation
of the point

[illegible]

11/3/95

Draft [illegible]
[illegible] 12/3/95

CHIEF SECRETARY'S OFFICE.

REVENUE BRANCH.

(12A)

OFFICE NOTE.

SUBJECT. Refund to Shanbogés of half the profits on the Service Inam lands held by them and of Irrigation Cess levied from them.

LAST REFERENCE. Letter No. 1144 dated 31st May 1893 from The Superintendent, Rev. Survey

One Nandagiriappa, Shanbogé of Varalkunda village in the Goribidnur Taluk, holding for Shanbhog Service, certain Inam lands in Peresandra and other villages of Chickballapur Taluk bearing an annual assessment of Rs. 51-4-.., complained (1) that the Taluk authorities misconstruing the Potagi Rules recovered from him the full

full survey valuation of the
said Service Inam lands without
allowing the Jodi amount of Rs
4-6-0 (half of the difference
between the full survey valua-
tion of the Service Inam lands,
and the amount of fixed Polaji
which is Rs 42-8-0) as per para
XXIII of the Polaji Rules which
runs as follows: —
"If the emoluments derived
"from land alone are more
"than sufficient to provide the
"salary of the officiator, the
"surplus shall be taxed by the
"imposition of additional Jodi
"equal to one half the profits
"on the above land, the profits
"being the difference between
"the Jodi payable thereon and
"the full Survey assessment
or valuation;" (2) that Irrigation
cess had also been recovered
from him on his Service
Inam lands though he was
paying

paying full Survey assessm thereof in which the Irrigation cess is merged; and requested the refund of the Sums thus recovered from him in excess of the actual demand.

D.C. No: 3134/744 dd 15th April 93

2. The Deputy Commissioner in reporting the above circumstances of the case submitted for Sanction a refund-bill for Rs 28-10-10 in favour of the Said Nandgiriappa.

No: 19234/2314 dd 16th May 1893

3. This was referred to the Survey Superintendent for opinion.

No: 1144 dd 31st May 1893.

4. The Superintendent Revenue Survey States that he ~~has~~ does not quite follow the Deputy Commissioner in his mode of Calculation, and Says that the amount of refund can be easily Calculated from the following figures being the legitimate levy

Year	Jodi	Half profits under Rule XXVIII	Cess
	Rs	Rs	Rs
1885-86	42-8--	4-6--	1-1-5
1886-87.	42-8--	4-6--	1-1-5
1887-88	42-8--	4-6--	1-1-5
1888-1889.	42-8--	4-6--	1-1-5
1889-90.	42-8--	4-6--	1-1-5
1890-91.	36-8 —	4-6.	1-1-5

5 Col. Grant explains that the land assessed at Rs 6 having been resigned in 1890-91 the Jodi tax payable (Rule XXVII) had to be reduced by the full Survey assessment of the relinquished field; that the Deputy Commr. in his letter no: $\frac{3134}{744}$ of 15 April 93 puts down Irrigation cess for refund but although under the peculiar circumstances connected with Patel and Shanbog Official land (Rule XXVIII) combined with the fact of the cess in question having in the villages concerned being merged in the Survey assessment, such a course

Extract put up.

Extract put up.

may

may, on consideration,
the approval of Govt, it is never-
theless leviable, under Section
XVII of the Survey guarantee
as amended by Govt order
No 3786-95 dated Bangalore
101
1st Septr. 1887, under the ~~design~~
designation of "Equivalent of
the Irrigation Cess".

Entrance put up.

6. The Superintendent states
that there is no order so far
as he can ascertain exempting
Patel and Shanbhog official
land from the operation of
amended Section XVII of the
Survey-guarantee. He has
reason to believe that difference
of practice in the above
respect exists in different
Taluks "the Equivalent of the
Irrigation Cess" being levied
on Patel and Shanbog official
land in some cases and
remitted in others and thinks
that

clear. The Superintendent adds that as orders stand at present the levy of the "Equivalent of the Irrigation Cess" seems to him to be correct.

In his letter No: 345 dated 5 May 1893 the Deputy Commr requests sanction in another case to write off the accounts Rs 70-4-2 being the amount of Irrigation Cess recovered from certain Shanbogs of the Goribidnur Taluk on their Service Inam lands on the ground of their paying full survey assessment (in which irrigation Cess is merged) on such Inam lands as if they were ordinary Govt: lands.

The revised statement for Rs 61-8-2 subsequently received has been sanctioned. Vide Govt pro. No 1477/R.F.34-93 of 2/8/95

Submitted for orders

cs 29/6. It is not clear how the amount of Irrigation Cess

viz Rs: 5"6"0 recommended to be refunded has been arrived at. A statement shewing the —

1 Sur no:
2 ~~&~~ class of land
3 extent
4. assest:

of the lands concerned would make matters clearer.

If Local cess on the excess amount of 23"4"0 has been levied there appears to be no reason why it should not be ~~be~~ refunded as prayed for by the Petitioner.

A Copy of the Sur Supt's letter may be sent to the Dy Comr for such explanation as he may wish to offer on the points raised.

As regards the other refund bill also. a statement of the lands as above may be called for

Complete information may be obtained & then the papers submitted to the Chief Secy [illegible] 14/7/93

With reference to the above the Dy Commissioner + submits Copies of letters received from the Sub-Division Officer, Chikkballapur on the subject and states that as local cess is levied on the full Survey valuation the Shanbhog in question is not entitled to any refund on that account

+ No. 412 dated 12-4-94
No 179 dated 30-10-94.

Submitted for orders.

21/1/95

The matter has been made clear now - (vide Copy of letter No. 412 of 11th April 94 from the Sub. Div. Officer to the Dy. Comr. Kolar.).

The calculations stand thus -

	Rs. A.
Survey asst. of the service Inam lands	51-4-0
Potgi amount	- 42-8-0.
Difference	- 8-12-0

Half the Diff. viz. 4-6-0.

51-4-0
4-6-0
46-14-0

51-4-0
6-0
45-4-0
42-8-0
2-12-0
1-6-0
1-6

43-14-0

should

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

not have been collected during the 5 years from 1885-86 to 1889-90 inclusive the excess amount levied during the period being Rs: 21"14"0.

In Vikruti (1890-91) Inam land assessed at Rs: 6/- was resigned & the amount of half profits was reduced from Rs 4"6"0 to Rs: 1"6"0.×

The total amount of half profits levied in excess comes to Rs: 23"4"0.

Sur: nos: 221 & 266 being wet lands, 1/17 th: of their assessment of Rs: 18"8"0 was collected as "Equivalent of Irrigation Cess" at Rs: 1"1"5. The actual amount levied during the period from 1885-86 to 1889-90 was Rs: 5"6"10.× From 1890-91 the cess ceased to be levied. The Irrigation Cess having been merged in the wet assessment & full assessment having been collected, the equivalent of Irrigation Cess

+ How can this be? The Jodi has to be reduced by the full amount of the survey assessment of the relinquished land. What is leviable is thus Rs 46-14-" minus Rs 6 = Rs 40-14-". (Vide Rule ×× VII quoted by the Survey Subtt in para 2 of his letter (paper No 7) - Q.

× The demand being Rs 5-7-1.

has also to be refund

Thus the total amount viz: 28"10"10. referred to in the Dy Comr's letter No. 3134/744 dated 15th April 1893. may be refunded. Draft put up for approval

YL
21/1/95

The further report now ⊗ received from the Deputy Commissioner with reference to this office docket No. 14834/R.F. 302-92 dated 14th March/95 shews that unlike the ordinary Cundayem paying Ryots, Shanbhog Nandigiriappa has paid the irrigation cess separately in addition to that merged in the wet assessment of the land, thus entitling him to its refund

Submitted with 2 drafts sanctioning the refund of

⊗ No 1961/512 of 25th Nov/95

This is exactly where the Survey Supt differs from the Dy Comr & the Sub Dn officer - tho' the irrigation cess is merged in the Survey Valuation, yet 1/17 of such valuation seems recoverable in addition to Jodi or quit rent. This is no doubt hard but the Rules will have to be altered before any relief could

this as well as the [illegible] of Rs 18-12-4 referred to in the Dy Commissioner's docket No 2679/613 of 31st January/94.

[illegible] 17/12/95

[illegible] 19/12/95

be given. In Rule XVII of the Survey Guarantee, there is no distinction made between Service Inams & other Inams. As suggested by the Survey Supt the practice prevailing in the several Districts may be ascertained & the Rule declared inapplicable to official lands of the kind under notice. [illegible]

Subd to Ed Councillor. Please see my marginal remarks ~~in pencil~~. On both the points, the Survey Supt's opinion seems to be correct.

[illegible]

24-12-95

Recd 6/1

This case is quite clear & for its purposes it is unnecessary to raise questions which are not quite relevant to this reference. One of such questions is the confusion which was introduced

regarding the relinquishment of Shantopes lands - It was ruled that no relinquishments at all shd be accepted (Order 2223/165 of 26.9.83.) except at the officiators liability to bear the reduction of emolu-ments caused thereby ✱. This new was ~~[illegible]~~ adhered to but it was explained that the Rule XXIII shd be enforced and a wish was expressed that if this order was expd the resignation wd be withdrawn (No. 1764-5-50 of 5th July 1887).

Then order 2205 of 2/3-1-88 followed in which it was stated that "There is nothing to prevent his relinquishing the land, if he is disposed to do so, in which case the provisions of rules 27 & 28 wd apply".

This order restored matters to the position in which they were under the Pokgr rules - and I believe that the Dewan has abandoned his idea of converting all ~~$~~ money payments to this class of village servants into hand

✱ Instructions were issued to offer other lands in lieu of their relinquished on the ground of their deterioration &c. (Camp No. 743-52 of 11th July 1885.)

Mains. as he are intended to do (Vide No. 950-60 of 16th Sept. 1885) - The change of policy having been thus abandoned the effect of the disturbing orders which was issued in this interval of transition may be deemed to have disappeared - We are thus left to the bare rules to guide us in the settlement of this question. In accordance with the rules the view of the Survey Supt. is correct and the refund bill will be calculated & sanctioned accordingly - The calculation will be as follows

Half of profits for five years up to 1889-90 (4-6-0 x 5) = 21-14-0

In Vikrite land of Jagadal No 6 was resigned - & the Jodi leviable became - 40-14-0 Excess collection shd be remitted.

The land is Jodi Inam
Rule XVII of the Survey Guarantee
applies & no irrigation cess
could be remitted. The
above disposes of this file. A simple
order sanctioning the refund & explaining
the mode of calculation will do.
For the decision of the
general question involving
a modification of Rule XVII
enquire from the Dep Comr
the present practice as regd
the levy of the irrigation
equivalents & any modn
they wd recommend in future
of the village offs.

11/3/96

[illegible]

11-3-96

CB.
11/3

Drafts subd for approval

B 13/3

CHIEF SECRETARY'S OFFICE.

REVENUE BRANCH. S

OFFICE NOTE.

SUBJECT. Levy of Irrigation cess merged in the wet & garden assessment of the Shanboque & Patel service Inam lands, settled subsequent to 11th February 1875.

LAST REFERENCE. Letter No. 1067 (14) dated 20th April 1897 from the Deputy Comrs, Bangalore &c &c.

The Deputy Comrs of Districts were requested to report whether the Irrigation cess merged in the wet and garden assessment of the Patel & Shanboque service Inam lands settled subsequent to 11th February 1875, is being separately levied, and if not, what the practice is in each Taluk and whether they would recommend any modification of the Rule in favor of the Village Officials. Please see Govt order (Paper No 15 in Section A.) No 8524-31 R.F. 302-92 of 18th March 1896. The replies received from Deputy Comrs have

Herewith submitted for orders.

[illegible] 3/5/97

[illegible] 10/5/97

Sealed to [illegible] with reference to his order on Secret No 12A in Section A. The practice varies in the several districts & uniformity is desirable. The question is whether a separate levy should be enforced throughout as required by the Rules, or whether the Rules should be modified so as to abolish such levy [illegible] where.

15/5/97

I think there ought to be no levy of cess agn. The agnts are taken into calculation when the Jodi is fixed which is a combined imposition both up on dry & wet lands - & in several cases the whole assessment is called Jodi - though on this grd a modification of the order is equitable. Yet there has been no demand for it & I think it unnecessary to disturb a practice which has quietly established itself. Mem paper [illegible] 28/8/97

Filed 29-8-97

Abstract of replies received from Deputy Comrs to Govt proceedings No 8524-31 / R.F. 302-92 of 18th March 1896 on the subject of separate levy of Irrigation cess on the patel & Shanbog service Inam lands settled subsequent to 11th February 1875.

Bangalore	Kolar	Tumkur	Mysore	Hassan	Shimoga	Kadur	Chitaldroog
...e Kankanhalli ...luk has not been ...ttled yet. ...he Irrigation cess ...separately levied ...the Anekal & ...hannapatam Taluks ~~[illegible]~~ ...he merged Irrigation ...is not separately ...ied in the following ...ks - ...Bangalore ...Hoskota ...Devanhalli ...Dodballapur ...Nelmangala ...Magadi. ...Deputy Comr, is of ...ion that as the service ...sin question are merely ...inal and as full ...sment is levied thereon ...odification of the ...ent rules is called	1 The cess is separately levied in the Bowringpet Taluk. It may be given up after pakka potgi settlement takes place. 2 It is also separately levied in the Malur Taluk only when the amount payable to Govt is less than the full survey assessment. 3 It is not separately levied in the following Taluks since full Survey valuation is being levied after pakka potgi settlement. 1 Kolar 2 Mulbagal 3 Chintamani 4 Sidlagatta 5 Chikballapur 6 Bagepalli 7 Goribidnore. The Dy. Comr, recommends that the separate levy of the cess may be given up even if the amount payable to Govt by the village officials according to Survey settlement should fall short of the full Survey assessment of their service Inam lands.	1 The cess is separately levied in the Chiknaikanhalli and Kunigal Taluks. 2 It is not separately levied in other Taluks viz. 1 Tumkur 2 Maddagiri 3 Sira 4 Gubbi 5 Tepur 6 Pavagada. The Deputy Comr recommends the discontinuance of the levy of merged Irrigation cess in all cases.	1 The Nanjangud Taluk has not been settled yet. 2 In the Seerangapatam and Mandya Taluks the cess is levied separately at one anna per rupee. 3 In the other Taluks of the district, no service Inam lands are held by village offls.	1 In all the Taluks of the district the cess is not separately levied but Rs. 0-1-9 only is levied as Irrigation cess from the patel of Doddagoingere in the Hassan Taluk. The Deputy Comr states that he has ordered the discontinuance of the levy of cess in the case of the said patel.	1 The cess is separately levied in the following Taluks and the Amildars state that no modification of the present practice is needed. 1 Sorab 2 Shikarpur 3 Honnali 4 Channagiri 5 Shimoga 6 Kumsi Sub. Taluk. 2 No Inam lands are held by the patels & Shanbogues in the Nagar Sagar & Tirthahalli Taluks. 3 In the Ayanur Magni of the Kumsi Sub Taluk cess at 1 Re for every 17 Rs is being levied. This has to be altered to 1 Re for every 16 Rs. The Deputy Comr is of opinion that no change in the present practice is called for.	1 The cess is not separately levied in any Taluk since the levy of full survey assessment was ordered. 2 In the Mudgere Taluk the cess is separately levied at 1 anna per Rupee on Service Inam lands of Moktesar, Mande and Nad patels. The Deputy Comr. does not recommend the alteration of the present rules as no Irrigation cess is levied on Inam lands held by village patels and Shanbogues	All the Taluks in the district were settled before 11th February 1875.

Proceedings of the Government of Mysore

No. 3786-95-101, dated Bangalore, 18th Sept. 1887.

Order.— Under the authority of rule XVII of the Survey Guarantee as revised by Notification No. 341, dated 11th February 1895, a levy of 1-17th of the Survey valuation of all wet lands in Inam Villages is made under the designation of "Equivalent of the Irrigation Cess." This levy has been the subject of complaint generally on the part of Inamdars who urge that it is unjust inasmuch as the lands in question derive no benefit from any Government source of Irrigation. His Highness's Government are of opinion that this complaint is well founded in the case of such Inamdars of whole villages as hold inam wet lands irrigated exclusively from Inam Tanks. The Government accordingly

accordingly sanction the abolition of this levy. But in sanctioning this measure, the Government desire to impress upon all Inamdars who benefit by it that their responsibility for the execution of timely repairs to their tanks and for the maintenance of them always in proper condition will be strictly enforced. If they fail in this duty, the necessary work will be executed by Government and the cost recovered from the Inamdars as a revenue demand.

2. In accordance with the above orders, the following Sections will be substituted for Sections XVII and XVIII of the Survey Guarantee—

XVII. The Irrigation Cess will similarly merge in the future Survey Valuation

Valuation of all wet lands held in inam, whether in Government Villages or in whole inam villages and 1-17th of such Valuation will be collected similarly to a Jodi; but under the designation of "Equivalent of the Irrigation Cess," separately from, and in addition to, the quitrent leviable on such lands or villages and be carried to the account of the "District Irrigation Fund." But such wet lands in whole inam villages as may derive their irrigation exclusively from a tank or other source of irrigation situated wholly within such villages shall not be liable to pay any Irrigation Cess or the equivalent

equivalent thereof to the Government.

Provided that, for the purpose of fixing the amount of Irrigation Cess, the Survey Department shall ascertain and record the assessment of such wet lands in inam villages as may be irrigated by a Government irrigation work. And provided further that the Survey valuation of all inam or other wet lands shall include any separate water rate which may be imposed thereupon.

XVIII. Kayamgutta Villages and Inam Villages (S'arvamanyam or Jodi) shall pay Local Fund Cess at the rate one anna in the rupee, the former at the fixed Kayamgutta rent

No 3

and the latter on the recorded value of the inam.

3. Similarly Rule VI of the rules for the guidance of District Committees in the administration of the District Irrigation Cess Fund, published with the late Chief Commissioner's Notification No 62 dated 7th May 1875, will be amended as follows:—

VI. Holders of Inam and Kayamgatta villages being relieved of the obligation to pay Irrigation Cess in respect of wet lands irrigated exclusively from their village tanks, their responsibility for the execution of timely repairs to such tanks and for maintaining them always in a proper condition will be strictly enforced. If they fail to maintain their tanks in a

proper

proper condition or to execute the needful repairs in due time or with reasonable despatch and efficiency, it will be competent to the Government to take the work in hand and carry it out, the outlay being recovered from them as a revenue demand.

4. These amendments will be published in the Gazette for general information.

5. The orders conveyed in Proceedings No. 7416-225, dated 17th December 1881, for holding in abeyance the Irrigation Cess levied from wet lands in Inam villages are hereby withdrawn. The amount shown in the accounts as due on due on account of wet inam lands which do not derive irrigation from Government works should be written off under the rules now sanctioned, the amounts thus written off being duly reported to this Office.

Early

Early measures should be adopted for the recovery of the arrears under this head in other cases.

6. The Deputy Commissioners are requested to decide in communication with the Superintendent of Revenue Survey, what inam wet lands must be exempted for the levy of the Irrigation Cess or its equivalent under Section XVII of the Survey Guarantee revised as above.

Sd/ R. Vijayindra Row
Chief Secretary

To,
The Superintendent, Revenue Survey & Inam Settlements.
" The Deputy Commissioners of Districts.
" The Comptroller, in Mysore.

Copy

(General Serial No. 31).

1892.

3478
22 JUN 92
134
DEWAN OF MYSORE

Rec'd 22/6

Rec'd 24/6

Draft Subd
[illegible]
13/7

From
The Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District

Section
File No. 3-91
Serial No.
To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

Dated 20th
Despatched 21st
Received
June 1892

Enclosures No. 1 & 1 file

General No. 1217
No. 262 1892-93.

Has the honor to submit herewith for sanction a bill for Rupees 231-15-7 being the irrigation cess separately recovered from the Shanbhogs of the Gudibanda Sub-Taluk on their Service Inam land to be refunded to them as they have paid the full survey valuation thereof in which the cess is merged.

2. The vernacular correspondence bearing on the subject is herein enclosed for further reference.

[signature]
Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District

B.1.
22 Jun 92

[illegible]

(General Serial No. 80.)

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
189 24 JUN. 92
3592
141

Section
File No. 3-91
Serial No.

From The Deputy Commissioner Kolar District.

To The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore

Rev Branch
MRK 24/6/92

Rec'd
27-6-92

Draft Filed.
H.B.
14/7

Dated 20th
Despatched 22nd June 1892.
Received

Enclosures No. 1 + Vernacular file

General No. 1243
No 268

Has the honor to submit herewith for sanction a bill for Rs 429-2-0 being the difference between the full survey valuation of the Service Inam lands and the assessment fixed by the Survey Department as payable by the holders of such Inams to be refunded to certain Shanboges of the Gudibanda Sub Taluq from whom the same was recovered by mistake.

2. The vernacular correspondence on the subject is herein enclosed for further reference.

H. [illegible]
for Deputy Commissioner

B1
27-6-92

Statement shewing particulars of the amount to be refunded to certain shanbogues of the Gudibanda Sub Tal

Name of Village	Name of Shanbogue	Year	Full of survey valuation recovered from him.			Amount payable by him as per orders contained in Government proceedings No 1733-42/47 dated 2nd July 1887			Difference recommended to be refunded.			Total amount to be refunded.		
			Rs	As	Pies	Rs	As	Pies	Rs	As	Pies	Rs	As	Pies
Yarralakanahalli & 3 other villages	Krishnappa	1885-86	47	4	.	45	14	.	1	6	.			
		1886-87	47	4	.	45	14	.	1	6	.			
												2	12	.
Bijāganahalli & Bandarlahalli	Narāina Row	1885-86	89	4	.	77	6	.	11	14	.			
		1886-87	89	4	.	77	6	.	11	14	.			
		1887-88	72	12	.	69	2	.	3	10	.			
		1888-89	72	12	.	69	2	.	3	10	.			
		1889-90	72	12	.	69	2	.	3	10	.			
												34	10	.
Katenahalli & Somenahalli	Subbanna	1885-86	66	8	.	50	14	.	15	10	.			
		1886-87	66	8	.	50	14	.	15	10	.			
		1887-88	66	8	.	50	14	.	15	10	.			
		1888-89	66	8	.	50	14	.	15	10	.			
		1889-90	66	8	.	50	14	.	15	10	.			
												78	2	.
Bogenahalli & Dodda Huralearahalli	Subba Row	1885-86	39	4	.	38	2	.	1	2	.			
		1886-87	39	4	.	38	2	.	1	2	.			
		1887-88	39	4	.	38	2	.	1	2	.			
		1888-89	39	4	.	38	2	.	1	2	.			
		189-90	39	4	.	38	2	.	1	2	.			
												5	10	.
Sirnahalli & Sirumani	Govindappa & Venkatarāmiah	1885-86	30	8	.	27	4	.	3	4	.			
		1886-87	30	8	.	27	4	.	3	4	.			
		1887-88	30	8	.	27	4	.	3	4	.			
		1888-89	30	8	.	27	4	.	3	4	.			
		1889-90	30	8	.	27	4	.	3	4	.			
												16	4	.
Summenahalli	Adi Subbiah	1885-86	20	8	.	18	.	.	2	8	.			
		1886-87	20	8	.	18	.	.	2	8	.			
		1887-88	20	8	.	18	.	.	2	8	.			
		1888-89	20	8	.	18	.	.	2	8	.			
		1889-90	20	8	.	18	.	.	2	8	.			
												12	8	.
Gangavahalli	Venkatarayappa	1885-86	23	8	.	17	14	.	5	10	.			
		1886-87	23	8	.	17	14	.	5	10	.			
		1887-88	23	8	.	17	14	.	5	10	.			
		1888-89	23	8	.	17	14	.	5	10	.			
		1889-90	23	8	.	17	14	.	5	10	.			
												28	2	.

...mpasandra Tadehalli & Rakshman ...pura.	Adi Subbiah and his Gumasta Subbanna	1885-86	108	.	.	89	4	.	18	12	.			
		1886-87	108	.	.	89	4	.	18	12	.			
		1887-88	108	.	.	89	4	.	18	12	.			
		1888-89	108	.	.	89	4	.	18	12	.			
		1889-90	108	.	.	89	4	.	18	12	.			
												93	12	.
...lasanivddoo	Ramachandrappa	1885-86	54	.	.	34	12	.	19	4	.			
		1886-87	54	.	.	34	12	.	19	4	.			
		1887-88	32	.	.	23	12	.	8	4	.			
		1888-89	32	.	.	23	12	.	8	4	.			
		1889-90	32	.	.	23	12	.	8	4	.			
												63	4	.
...ligombu & Gundlahalli	Vencatesiah	1885-86	49	8	.	40	12	.	8	12	.			
		1886-87	49	8	.	40	12	.	8	12	.			
												17	8	.
...arti Bairasagarakere & Pasaba ...du	Vencamma	1885-86	60	8	.	51	14	.	8	10	.			
		1886-87	60	8	.	51	14	.	8	10	.			
		1887-88	60	8	.	51	14	.	8	10	.			
		1888-89	60	8	.	51	14	.	8	10	.			
		1889-90	60	8	.	51	14	.	8	10	.			
												43	2	.
...rahalli Bairasagarakere at Hale ...dibanda & Machavalahalli	Narasingappa	1885-86	53	.	.	46	14	.	6	2	.			
		1886-87	53	.	.	46	14	.	6	2	.			
		1887-88	53	.	.	46	14	.	6	2	.			
		1888-89	53	.	.	46	14	.	6	2	.			
		1889-90	53	.	.	46	14	.	6	2	.			
												30	10	.
...rigana Tammanahalli Hale ...dibanda and Bairasagara-kere	Vencatanaranappa	1885-86	28	.	.	25	2	.	2	14	.			
												2	14	.
	Total rupees		2831	.	.	2401	14	.	429	2	.	429	2	.

Total rupees four hundred & twenty nine & annas two only

...ated 4th December 1891

[signature]

for Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District

(General Serial No. 30.)

1892.

2

From

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

To

The Deputy Commr of the Kolar District.

Dated 16th July 1892

Despatched 20

Received

Enclosures No. 141 file.

General No. 956
R No. 144-92

Enquiring, with reference to a refund bill for Rs 231-15-7 being the irrigation cess recovered from the Shanbogues of the Gudibanda Sub Taluk on their Service Inam lands in addition to the full Survey valuation (including the cess) paid by them, whether the full Survey valuation is levied throughout the District in the case of all Service Inam lands—

References to be marked.

(2)

To The Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District,

Dated 16/20 July 1892

No. 956 / R 144-92 Eu H1 file

His No. 1217/262 of the 20th June/92 Submitting for Sanction, a Refund Bill for Rs 231-15-7, being the irrigation cess separately recovered from the Shanbhogs of the Gudibunda Sub Taluk on their Service Inam lands in addition to the full Survey Valuation (in which the cess is merged) ~~[illegible]~~ paid by them.

With reference to the above, requests the Dy Commissioner will

be good enough to report whether the full Survey valuation ~~of the~~ is levied throughout the Distt in the case of ~~the~~ Service Inam lands ~~is being levied from the Village officers in the District~~, and if so, why ~~what explanation he has to offer for not giving effect~~ ~~to~~ the orders contained in Pro: No 1733-42 / 47 of 2nd July 1897 have not been given effect to.

2. The Enclosures are returned

By order

MM 16/7/92
Under Secty

H. D.

(General Serial No. 30.)

189

R

From

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

To

Dated

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No.
No.

Draft for appl

(General Serial No. 30.)

1892.

From

The Chief Secretary
to the Dewan of Mysore.

To

The Deputy Commr of
the Kolar District

Dated 27th July 1892

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 1673

No Q. 271-92

Calling for further information in regard to the refund of Rs. 429-2-0 to the Shanbogues of the Gudibanda Sub Taluk, being the difference between the full Survey valuation levied & the assessment fixed by the Survey Deptt: as payable upon Shanbogue Service Inam lands—

References to be marked.

Read:—

Docket No. 1243/268 dated 20th June 1892 from the Deputy Commr of the Kolar District, Submitting a refund bill for Rs. 429-2-0 in favour of certain Shanbogues of the Gudibanda Sub Taluk, being the difference between the full Survey valuation levied ~~of the Service Inam lands~~ and the assessment fixed by the Survey Deptt as payable upon Shanbogue Service ~~by the holders of~~ ~~Such~~ Inamlands in the Gudibanda Sub Taluk for 5 yrs from 1885-86 to 1889-90 —

Order thereon:—

The Deputy Commr is requested to explain why the full Survey valuation was continued to be levied even after the publication of the amendment ordered in Progs: No. 1733-42/47 to dated 2d July/1887, and report what has been done in this respect in the other Taluks of the District.

No. 1673/R. 271-92 D/ 27 July 1892 / 2d August.

27 July 92

Draft

Chief Secretary

To. The Deputy Commr of the Kolar District.

Rev mk 7/11/92

(General Serial No. 30.)

1892.

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
47521
7 - NOV. 92
R 1235

From The Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District

(3)

Subd for order to the draft.
H. D.
24/11/92

To The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore
October

Section
File No. 3-91
Serial No. 5

Dated 31st
Despatched 5-11-92
Received

Enclosures No. 2 & 1 & 2

General No. 1425
No. 318

This docket No 956
R No 144-92
of the 16th July last returning the refund bill for Rupees 231-15-7 being the irrigation cess separately recovered from the Shanbhoss of the Gudibanda Sub Talook on their Service Iam lands, for further information

With reference to the above, has the honor to submit herewith copy of the letter No 156 of the 19th August last from the Sub Division officer Chikballapur and the Gudibanda Deputy

To the same & Comptroller
No 1881-2
R 7302-92 27/7/93

Recd 7/11

Deputy amildar's report No 217 / 13-8-92 to the
officer explaining the circumstances under
which the irrigation cess was levied on
Shanbhop Service Inam lands

2. The reports of other amildars in whose
Talooks the payment of Packa Patti
was sanctioned will be submitted on
receipt of the same from the Sub Division
Officer

3. The refund bill and other papers
received with the docket under reply are
herewith resubmitted for sanction

[signature]
Deputy Commissioner

[signature]

1892

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

3/a

From

The Sub Division Officer,
Chickballapur.

To

The Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District

Dated Camp Goribidnur 19th August 1892.
Despatched 21st —do—.

Enclosures No: 1 Can. file with
English Record.

No: 156.

Sir,

With reference to your No: 358/239 dated the 30th July / 3rd instant forwarding for report copy of Chief Secretary's No: 956/R. 144-92 of the 16th July as to how irrigation cess came to be levied on Shanbogue Inam lands, I have the honor to state that the cess seems to have been collected under the impression that service Inam lands like other Inams fell under the scope of para XVII of the Survey Guarantee as amended by notification No: 341 of the 11th February 1875. Government Proceedings

Proceedings Camp No: 950-60 dated the 16th September 1885 para 6 directed full survey valuation to be recovered. This order was modified by Proceedings of the 2nd July 1887 in which the words "the assessment imposed "by the Survey Settlement as leviable on" were substituted for the words "the full survey valuation of" in the former proceedings. The full significance of the latter Proceedings does not seem to have been understood by the Taluk officials nor does it seem that the orders issued from the District office in persuance thereof put the matter in a clearer light. I sent for the copy of the Order received in the Goribidnur Taluk at the time and find that it merely communicates Government Orders. Hence the mistake which does not seem to have been discoved discovered till the Shanbogues themselves, after the lapse of some years, discovered it and complained of the unauthorised levy. The Irrigation cess ceased to be collected from

Viernthy. The enclosures are returned.

I have &c

(Signed) A. Krishnasamiengar,
Sub Division Officer

"Copy"

Deputy Commissioner,
Kolar District.

Trans

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

Return
MSK 8/11/92
Draft Sub
[illegible]
12/11

1892. 4811.
8 - NOV. 92
R 1247

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE

From
The Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District

Section
File No. 3 To 9/4
Serial No. 6

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.

Dated 4th
Despatched 7th November 1892.
Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 1435
No. 323 1892-93.

~~In reply to~~ Government Proceedings No: 1673 dated 27th July 1892 calling for further particulars in regard to a refund bill for Rupees 429-2-n in favor of certain Shanbhogues of Gudibanda Sub Taluk

SUBJECT.

R271-92

Has the honor to request that the file of vernacular records submitted with this office docket No: 1243/268 dated 20th June last may be kindly caused to be

To the Same

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office).

Recd 8/11

returned for reference.

Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District

To

The Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District.

Section
No 3-91
Serial No
Dated.

(5)

His docket No. 1435/323 of the 4th November 1892.

No 6602/R880-92 W
12-11-92
15.

As requested in the above, has the honor to return herewith, the vernacular records received with his No. 1243/268 of the 20th June 1892 relating to a Bill for refund of Rs 429-2-0 to certain Shanbhogues of the Gudibanda Sub Taluk.

"By Order"

12/11/92
Under Secy

(General Serial No. 30.)

189 .

From

The Chief Secretary
to the Dewan of Mysore.

To

Dated

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No.
No.

Draft for approval

References to be marked.

1893. R 2973

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District

Section XR
File No. 3-91
Serial No. 8

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.

Dated 15 April

Despatched 28

Received

Enclosures No. 1 file

General No. 3134
No. 744

In reply to

SUBJECT.

Reporting that
Sri Nundi Giriappa
Rup Shanbogue of Varla-
Ponda holds certain
Inam lands in the
Chikballapur Talook

Rev. Mm
21/4

Filed with draft
11/5/93.

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office).

No 3134/744. civil file

Kolar District,

Dated 15/20 April 1893.

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore.

Sir,

I have the honor to state that one Nundi-giriappa Shanbhog of Sarlahonda village in the Gudi-banda Sub-Taluk, holds certain Inam lands in Beresandra and 4 other villages of Chickballapur Taluk bearing an annual assessment of Rupees 51-4-0 thereon for shanbhogi service. The sanctioned Pacha Potagi to the Shanbhog is Rupees 42-8-0. The difference between the full survey valuation of the service Inam lands and the amount of fixed Potagi is Rupees 8-12-0 half of which viz:- Rupees 4-6-0 has to be remitted and the remaining amount of Rupees 46-14-0 to be collected from the Shanbhog.

But the Taluk authorities misconstruing the Potagi rules recovered from the Shanbhog the full survey valuation of the said service Inam lands without allowing the Sodi amount as per para XXIII of the Potagi rules

Besides, Irrigation cess has also been re-covered from the said Shanbhog on his service Inam

lands though he has paid the full survey assessment

Excess payment of assessment for the last 6 years from Parthiva to Vikruti as specified below:-

Parthiva (1885-86) Rs	4-6-0
Vyaya (1886-87) "	4-6-0
Sarvajitu (1887-88) "	4-6-0
Sarvadhari (1888-89) "	4-6-0
Virodhi (1889-90)	4-6-0
Vikruti (1890-91)	1-6-0
Total Rs	23-4-0
Irrigation cess paid for 5 years from Parthiva to Virodhi.	5-6-10
Total Rs.	28-10-10

thereof in which the cess is merged. Hence the Shanbhogue Nundigiriappa claims the excess payment of the marginally noted sums to be refunded to him and accordingly a refund bill for Rupees 28-10-10 received from the Sub-Division Officer Chickballapur is herewith submitted for sanction.

The Vernacular correspondence bearing on the subject is also herein enclosed for further reference and obliging return when no longer required.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

[signature]

Deputy Commissioner

[illegible]

1893. 12/94

From The Deputy Commissioner Kolar District

R 3005

Section

No. 3-91

Serial No. 9

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

Dated 21st April 93

Despatched 22nd

Received

Enclosures No. 2 of file

General No. 3194

No. 763

In reply to

SUBJECT.

Reporting on the levy of full Survey Valuation of the Shanbogi Service Inam lands in the Gudibanda Sub Talik.

Rev. Mon 24/4

25/4

Submitted with Office note.

6/5

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office).

To the Same & Comptroller

No 20260-61 / R 2427 of 28/5/93

Recd 24/4

No. 3194/763 2 + 1 file

Kolar District

Dated Camp Guttahalli 21st April 1893.
22

(6)

To

The Chief Secretary

to the Dewan of Mysore.

Sir,

With reference to Government Proceedings No: 1673/R271-92 dated 27th July 1892 enquiring why the full survey valuation of the Shanbhogi service Inam lands in the Gudibanda Sub-Taluk was continued to be levied even after the publication of the amendment ordered in Proceedings No: 1733-42/47 of the 2nd July 1887 and calling for a report as to what has been done in this respect in the other Taluks of the District, I have the honor to state that through a misapprehension on the part of the Taluk authorities of the Potigi rules and the circular instructions issued from this office in accordance with the orders conveyed in Government Proceedings No: 1733-42/47 of the 2nd July 1887, the full survey valuation appears to have been levied in all the Taluks in which

What Taluks? 8/5

[illegible] have been sanctioned but the mistake was pointed out by the District Officers at the Jamabandi when the matters came to their notice.

2. The Amildars have been warned against a repetition of the mistake in future.

3. Under the circumstances I request that the refund bill submitted with this office docket No: $\frac{1243}{268}$ of the 20th June 1892 may kindly be sanctioned.

4. The vernacular records received with your docket No: $\frac{6602}{R880-92}$ dated 12th November 1892 are herewith resubmitted.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most Obedient Servant,

[illegible]

Deputy Commissioner

Kolar District.
Refund bill for the year 1891-92

Heads of Charge	Amount			Remarks.
Full survey valuation of the Service Inam Lands has been recovered from certain shanbogues of the Gudibanda Taluk for 5 years from Parthiva (1885-86) to Virodhi (1889-90) instead of the assessment fixed by the survey department as payable by the holders of such Inams as per Government Proceedings No. 1733-42/47 dated 2nd July 1887				The Treasury Officer's credit certificate is hereto attached. Certified that this amount of Rs 429-2-" has been credited in the Treasury accounts under the head I. Land Revenue Ryotwari in the years 1885-86 to 1889 as verified in the Vernacular statement by the Amildar of Bagepalli Taluk. "Signed" H. Tummaknot Treasury Officer
The difference of Rs. 429-2-" recovered in excess per accompanying detailed list has to be refunded to the parties concerned	429	2	"	
Total amount of the bill Rs. four hundred and twenty nine and annas two only	Dy	26th		April/92

Dated the 4th December/1891.

Office Copy

Signed: A. Kupuswami Modali
For Dy Commr

Rec'd Mark
17/5

This has also to be sent to the Dir Sub Ad for opinion
24/7/5

(General Serial No. 30.)

1893. 13460
R3322

Section
File No.
Serial No.

From

The Deputy Commissioner,
Kolar District.

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

The reference quoted has been subd with a draft to the Under Secy on 20/5/93

Dated 9
Despatched 16th
Received

Camp Gold Fields
May 1893

Rec'd
6/6/93

No reference to the Survey Supdt appears necessary as the amount was wrongly brought on the Jamabandi accounts.
Submitted with draft for appl or other orders.

21/6

But in a similar case we asked for the S. Supdt's opinion - Ref

21/6/93 Explained in person
Ann

Enclosures No. 1

General No. 3537
No. 857 1892-93-

This office letter No: 3194/763 of the 21st April 1893, requesting sanction for the refund in favor of certain Shanbogs of the Gudibanda Sub Taluk of Rupees 429-2-0 being the difference between the full survey valuation levied from them, and the assessment fixed by the Survey Department as payable upon their service Inam lands for 5 years

To the same No 1280/R7302 of 16/7/93

Rec'd 17/5

M. G. C. P. Bangalore.—27-1-93.—5,000.

from 1885-86 to 1889-90.

In continuation of the above, has the honor to submit herewith a statement for Rupees 2207-11-7 being the amount of such difference included in the Jama-bandi accounts of the Goribidnore Taluk for the said period and to request that under the circumstances already explained the same may be authorized to be written off as irrecoverable.

[signature]
Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District

Statement shewing the difference between the full survey valuation of the Shanbog service Inam lands in the Goribidnur Taluk brought [illegible] to the jamabandi accounts, and the assessment fixed by the Survey Department as leviable thereon.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7			8			9	10.
Hobli	Name of Village	Serial No.	Name of the Khatedar	years	Particulars	Amount			Total			Grounds of recommendation by the Deputy Commissioner.	Dewans orders.
						Rs	as	p	Rs	as	p		

25	12	"			
25	12	"	51	8	"
			2207	"	7

Land Revenue

	475	8	"
	462	2	"
	443	8	7
	459	14	"
	366	"	"
Total	2207	"	7

Deputy Commissioner.

Fiscal

Nagaragere	1	Lakshmi Narsappa	1885-86	Land Revenue						
			1886-87	Do	3	8	"			
			1887-88	Do	3	8	"			
			1888-89	Do	3	8	"			
			1889-90	Do	3	8	"			
					3	8	"	17	8	✓
	2	Lakshmi Narana Appa	1885-86							
			1886-87		20	14	"			
			1887-88	Do	20	14	"			
			1888-89		20	14	"			
			1889-90		20	14	"			
					20	14	"	104	6	" ✓
Cholosettihalli	3	Gundappah	1885-86							
			1886-87		4	4	"			
			1887-88	Do	4	4	"			
			1888-89		4	4	"			
			1889-90		4	4	"			
					4	4	"	21	4	" ✓
Nakkanhalli	4	Ramappah	1885-86	Do	23	"	"			
			1886-87		23	"	"			
					23	"	"	69	"	" ✓
Inamivala	5	Dévoji Row	1885-86							
			1886-87	Do	21	10	"			
			1887-88		21	10	"	43	4	" ✓
			1888-89		11	4	"			
					11	4	"			
					11	4	"			
					11	4	"			
					11	4	"	56	4	" ✓
					8	6	"			
					8	6	"			

Nagaragere

Palya

Manchenhalli

Londébhavi	Potenhalli	22	Surappah	1885-86	Land Revenue	39	15	"				GOVERNMENT PROPERTY Vide reasons given in the covering docket.
				1886-87		26	9	"				
				1887-88		26	9	"				
				1888-89		38	1	"				
				1889-90					171	1	"	✓
	Arasal-bandé	23	Venkatarayapa	1885-86		36	9	"				
				1886-87		36	9	"				
				1887-88	Do	34	11	"				
				1888-89		34	11	"				
				1889-90		34	11	"				
									177	3	"	✓
	Arakunda	24	Raghavendrapa	1885-86		18	6	"				
				1886-87		18	6	"				
				1887-88	Do	18	6	"				
				1888-89		18	6	"				
				1889-90					91	14	"	✓
	Balaréddi halli	25	Adi Narainappa	1885-86		2	12	"				
				1886-87	Do	2	12	"				
				1887-88					5	8	"	✓
	Gandagere	26	Jinnappa	1888-89		112	"	"				
				1889-90	Do	112	"	"				
	Ramagonda-lu	27	Gundappa	1885-86		111	6	7				
				1886-87		103	14	"				
				1887-88	Do				439	4	7	✓
				1888-89								
				1889-90								
	Varavani	28	Sreenivasa Moorty	1885-86								
				1886-87								
				1887-88	Do							

Palya (continued)	Sargondelu	6	Divakarappah	1886 – 87	Land Revenue	17	2	"			
				1887 – 88		17	2	"			
				1888 – 89		17	2	"			
				1889 – 90		17	2	"			
						17	2	"			
	Pidarlahalli	7	Kasavappa	1885 – 86					85	10	"
				1886 – 87		4	10	"			
				1887 – 88	Do	4	10	"			
				1888 – 89		4	10	"			
				1889 – 90		4	10	"			
						4	10	"			
	Gangasandra	8	Krishnappah	1885 – 86					23	2	
				1886 – 87		8	12	"			
				1887 – 88	Do	8	12	"			
				1888 – 89		8	12	"			
				1889 – 90		8	12	"			
						8	12	"			
Gorbidnur	Chikurégôdu	9	Bhimanah	1885 – 86					43	12	"
				1886 – 87		35	"	"			
				1887 – 88	Do	35	"	"			
				1888 – 89		35	"	"			
				1889 – 90		35	"	"			
						35	"	"			
									175	"	"
	Pirala gollahalli	10	Naranappa	1885 – 86		1	12	"			
				1886 – 87		1	12	"			
				1887 – 88	Do	1	12	"			
				1888 – 89		1	12	"			
				1889 – 90		1	12	"	8	12	"

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

Ramapura	17	Shanbog	1885-86	Do	1	8	"		
			1886-87		1	8	"		
			1887-88		1	8	"		
			1888-89		1	8	"		
			1889-90					6	"	"	✓
Vaichakuru	18	Keswaiah	1885-86	Do	5	"	"		
			1886-87		5	"	"		
			1887-88		5	"	"		
			1888-89		5	"	"		
			1889-90					25	"	"	✓
Hosuru	19	Venkataramanappa	1885-86	Do	"	10	"		
			1886-87		"	10	"		
			1887-88		"	10	"		
			1888-89		"	10	"		
			1889-90					3	2	"	✓
Mudigere	20	Chennappah	1885-86	Do	3	8	"		
			1886-87		3	8	"		
			1887-88		3	8	"		
			1888-89		3	8	"		
			1889-90					17	8	"	✓
Kapaganhalli	21	Ramappah	1885-86	Do	7	"	"		
			1886-87		7	"	"		
			1887-88		7	"	"		
			1888-89		7	"	"		
			1889-90								

Goribidnur (continued)	Myallya	11	Hanumanta Rao	1885-86	Land revenue	57	14	"			
				1886-87		57	14	"			
				1887-88		57	14	"			
				1888-89		57	14	"			
				1889-90		57	14	"			
									289	6	"
	Kudamala Kunté	12	Kesawa Rao	1885-86		9	6	"			
				1886-87		9	6	"			
				1887-88	Do	9	6	"			
				1888-89		9	6	"			
				1889-90		9	6	"			
									46	14	"
	Velapi	13	Ramaiah	1885-86	Do	13	6	"	13	6	"
	Nandigan-halli	14	Venkatasubiah	1885-86	Land Revenue	"	8	"			
				1886-87		"	8	"			
				1887-88		"	8	"			
									1	8	"
Bomas-andra	Kachimenden halli	15	Cheunappa	1885-86		22	2	"			
				1886-87		22	2	"			
				1887-88	Do	22	2	"			
				1888-89		22	2	"			
				1889-90		22	2	"			
									110	10	"
	Gadere	16	Adi Naranappa	1885-86		6	8	"			
				1886-87		6	8	"			
				1887-88	Do	6	8	"			
				1888-89		6	8	"	32	8	"
				1889-90		6	8	"			

Gorbidnúr (continued)	Myāllyā	11	Hanumanta Rao	1885-86	Land revenue	57	14	"				
				1886-87		57	14	"				
				1887-88		57	14	"				
				1888-89		57	14	"				
				1889-90		57	14	"				
									289	6	"	✓
	Kúdamala Kunté	12	Kesawa Rao	1885-86	Do	9	6	"				
				1886-87		9	6	"				
				1887-88		9	6	"				
				1888-89		9	6	"				
				1889-90		9	6	"				
									46	14	"	✓
	Velapu	13	Ramaiah	1885-86	Do	13	6	"	13	6	"	✓
Bomasandra	Nandigan-halli	14	Venkatasubiah	1885-86	Land Revenue	"	8	"				
				1886-87		"	8	"				
				1887-88		"	8	"				
									1	8	"	✓
	Kachi Mendenhalli	15	Cheunappa	1885-86	Do	22	2	"				
				1886-87		22	2	"				
				1887-88		22	2	"				
				1888-89		22	2	"				
				1889-90		22	2	"				
									110	10	"	✓
	Gadere	16	Adi Naranappa	1885-86	Do	6	8	"				
				1886-87		6	8	"				
				1887-88		6	8	"				
				1888-89		6	8	"	32	8	"	✓
				1889-90		6	8	"				

Section
File No. 3-9
Serial No. 11

To

The Superintendent
Revenue Survey in Mysore.

Dated. 16th May 1893
18

No 19234 Sw.1 41 file
R. 2314.

Has the honor to forward herewith, for favor of opinion, letter No 7134/744 dated 15th April 1893 with enclosures (in original) from the Deputy Commissioner of the Kolar District, submitting a proposal for the refund of half the difference between the Potej payable to Nandi Jirappa Shanbhog of Varlakonda Village in the Gudibanda Sub Taluk & the assessment of the Inam lands held by him in Peresandra & 4 other Villages of the Chikballapur Taluk, as well as the Irrigation cess levied from him. By order

[illegible] 12/5/93 [illegible]

(General Serial No. 30.)

189

From

To

Dated

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No.

No.

Draft for app C

7

Read:

Correspondence ending with Kolar Deputy Commissioner's letter No 3194/763. dated 21st April 1893 regarding the refund of Rs 429-2-0 ~~bill in favor of~~ to certain Shanbhogs of the Gudibanda Sub Taluk ~~for Rs 429-2-0 being~~ the amount being the difference between the full Survey valuation levied during the 5 years from 1885-86 to 1889-90 and the assessment fixed by the Survey Department as payable upon certain Shanbhogi service Inam lands in the Gudibanda Sub Taluk. ~~for 5 years from 1885-86 to 1889-90.~~

No. 20260-61 / R 2427 of 28 May 1893 / 1-6
Sn 1 + (2 + UP)

Order thereon

The

The refund of Rs 429-2-
~~[illegible]~~ recommended in the above
is sanctioned and the
bill ~~[illegible]~~ forwarded to the
Comptroller for audit.

28/5

Chief Secy

26/5

To
The Deputy Commr.,
Kolar District returning
the ~~[illegible]~~ enclosure
The Comptroller with the
bill –

Draft for approval

[illegible] quoted in this letter

Ours

1893. 1406.
R 3479

From The Suptt Mysore Revd Survey

Section R
File No 3 — 9
Serial No 3

To
The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

Dated Bangalore 31st May 1893

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No. with Vern. Papers

General No. 1144
No.

In reply to Docket No. 19234/2314 of 16th Instt

SUBJECT.

Submitting his reply regarding a proposal for the refund to Shanbog Nandigiriappa of Varlkond village of half the profits on the lands held by him & of irrigation cess levied from him.

Rev Mm
31/5/93

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office).

The Dy Commr Kadur No 4873
R 502

dt 13/9/93

Memo Subd
CS
29/6.

Rev
31/5

No 1144

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

Survey Superintendent's Office
Bangalore 31st May 1893

To,

The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your Docket No. 19234/2314 dated 16th Instant regarding a proposal for the refund to Shanbog Nandigiri-appa of Vaalkonda village in the Gudibanda Sub-Taluk of half the "profits" (Rule XXIII) on the lands held by him in Teresandra and other 4 villages of the Chik-Ballapur Taluk, as well as the Irrigation Cess levied from him.

2 I cannot quite follow the

the Deputy Commissioner in his mode of calculation but the following being the legitimate levy under the Patgi Rules the amount of refund can easily be calculated.

Year	Jodi	Half profits under Rule XXVIII	Irrigation cess
1885-86	42. 8. 0	4. 6. 0	1. 1. 5
1886-87	42. 8. 0	4. 6. 0	1. 1. 5
1887-88	42. 8. 0	4. 6. 0	1. 1. 5
1888-89	42. 8. 0	4. 6. 0	1. 1. 5
1889-90	42. 8. 0	4. 6. 0	1. 1. 5
1890-91	36. 8. 0	4. 6. 0	1. 1. 5

In 1890-91 land assessed at Rs 6 was resigned and consequently (Rule XXVII) the Jodi tax payable had to be reduced by the full Survey assessment of the relinquished field.

3 The Deputy Commissioner in his letter No. 3134/744 of 15th April 1893

1893 puts down Irrigation cess for refund but although under the peculiar circumstances connected with Patel and Shanbag official land (see Rule XXVIII) combined with the fact of the cess in question having in the villages concerned been merged in the Survey assessment, such a course may be considered just and may, on consideration, obtain the approval of Government, it is nevertheless leviable, under Section XVII of the Survey Guarantee as amended under Government Order No. 3786-95-101 dated Bangalore 1st September 1887, under the designation of "equivalent of the Irrigation cess". There is no Order so far as I can ascertain exempting

Patel

Patel and Shanbog Official land from the operation of amended Section XVII of the Survey Guarantee. I have reason to believe that difference of practice in the above respect exists in different Taluks. the "Equivalent of the Irrigation cess" being levied on Patel and Shanbog Official land in some cases and remitted in others. I think that the point should be made clear. As Orders stand at present the levy of the "Equivalent of the Irrigation cess" seems to me to be correct. Encls: are herewith returned.

But when the full surv. cess is collect there is no reason to collect 1/17 over again

I have the honor to be

Sir,

Your most obedt. Servant

H. Grant

Suptt Mysore Red: Survey

Section
File No. 8-91
Serial No. 14

(9)

Read:—

Docket No. 3537/357 dated 9th May 1893 from the Deputy Commissioner of the Kolar District.

Order thereon. 1280/R.F. 302-92 Dt. 16-7-93/21.

As recommended by the Deputy Commissioner the difference between the full Survey valuation of the Shaubhag Service Inam lands in the Goribedmur Taluk wrongly brought on the Jamabandi accounts and the assessment fixed by the Survey Department as leviable thereon, amounting to Rs 2207-0-7 as shewn below will be written off the accounts

	Land Revenue Rs
1885-86	475-8-0
1886-87	462-2-0
1887-88	443-8-7
1888-89	459-14-0
1889-90	366-0-0
Total	2207-0-7

To Mr Dy Comm Kolar District.

21/6/93 Chief Secretary.

(General Serial No. 30.)

1893

(4)

From

The Chief Secretary
to the Dewan of Mysore.

Section LR
File No. 3 To 9
Serial No. 15

The D. C. ~~Kadur~~ Kolar District
" Comptr to the Govt of Mysore.

Dated 27 July /93

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 1881-2
No. R.7.302-92

Sanctioning a Refund Bill for Rs. 231-15-7 being the Irrigation Cess recovered from the Shanbogues of the Gudibanda Sub Taluk on their Service Inam lands in addition to the full Survey valuation of the lands which includes the cess also -

References to be marked.

Mysore Govt. C. Press, Bangalore.—9-1-93.—3,000.

(4)

Read: Docket from the Dy Comr, Kolar Distrt ~~Docket~~ No. 1217/262 of the 20th June 1892, submitting for sanction, a Refund Bill for Rs 231-15-7, being the irrigation cess separately recovered from the Shanbhogs of the Gudibanda Sub Taluk, on their service Inam lands in addition to the full survey valuation of the lands in which the cess is emerged.

No 1881-2/R.7.302-92 d/27-7-93/31.
Sn. 2 bills + U.P.

Also - Docket No. 1425/318 d/ 31st October 1892 from the Same officer submitting further information on the subject as called for in this office No. 956/R.144-92 dated 16th July 1892.

Order thereon

Under the

circumstances stated in the 2nd of the dockets read above, the refund bill for Rs 231-15-7, is sanctioned and transmitted to the Comptroller for audit, with the remark that the further report promised by the Dy Commissioner will be awaited.

27/7

H. M. Chf Under Secy.

Draft for approval

Mrk

3/12/92

To

The Deputy Commissioner, Kolar Distt. returning the Enclosures.

The Comptroller with the English bill and Canarese detailed Bills.

Kolar District

Refund bill for the year 1891–92

Heads of Charge	Amount			Remarks.
...ation cess has been separately recovered from the Shan... ...ves of the Gudibanda Sub Taluk on their service ...m... wet and garden lands though they have paid full survey valuation thereof in which the cess ...merged. The amount thus erroneously recovered in ...ss as per accompanying detailed list received ...n the Deputy Amildar has to be refunded to the ...ties concerned	231	15	7	The treasury officers credit certificate is hereto attached.
...tal Rupees two hundred and thirty one annas fifteen and pees seven only	231	15	7	

...ted the 4th December/91

"Office Copy"

(signed) A Coopoo Swamy Mood.
For Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

Certified that this amount of Rupees 231—15—7 has as verified on the Vernacular statement by the Amildar of Bagepalli Taluk, been credited in the Treasury accounts of the years 1885-86 to 1889-90 under Public Debt III Irrigation cess Funds.

26th April 1892 } (Signed) H. Timmakrishna Row
Treasury Officer Kolar
District

(General Serial No. 30.)

1893.

From

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

Serial No. 3 — 91

Serial No. 16

To The D. C. Kolar District

Dated 13th September 1893

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 4873
No. R. 502

Calling for further information regarding the proposal for the refund of half the difference between the Potagi payable to Nandigiriappa, Shanbogue of Varlkonda, Gudibanda Sub Taluk and the assessment of the Inam lands held by him in Peresandra & 4 other villages of the Chickaballa-pur Taluk, and also the Irrigation cess levied from him -

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

To,

The Deputy Commissioner.
Kolar District.

Dated:

No.

No 4873 / R. 502 D/ 13/9/93 / 18.
Sm 1.

His No: 3134/744 dated 15 April 1893, Submitting a proposal for the refund of half the difference between the Potagi payable to Nandegereappa Shanbhog of Varalkonda Village in the Gudibanda Sub Taluk and the assessment of the Inam lands held by him in Peresandra and 4 other villages of the Chickballapur Taluk, as well as the Irrigation cess levied from him.

With reference to the above has the honor to ~~state~~ observe that it is not clear how the amount of Irrigation cess viz Rs 5-6-" recommended to be refunded has been arrived at. As

Statement

Statement shewing the —

1. Survey no:
2. Class of land
3. Extent.
4. Assessment.

of the lands concerned ~~would~~ should be furnished — ~~make matters clearer~~. The date — ~~of the Sur: settlement should also be given~~

The year in wh the Survey settlmt first took effect should also be given.

2. If Local cess on the excess amount of Rs 2 3-4 — has been levied ~~there appears to be no~~ the reason should be explained why it should not be refunded as prayed for by the Petitioner.

3. A Copy of the Survey Suptdts. letter no: 1144 dated 31st May 1893 ~~has been~~ is herewith forwarded to the Deputy Commr for such explanation as he may wish to offer on the points raised therein

By Order
[signature] 13 Sep
~~Under~~ Chief Secy.

[initials] 5/7/93

(General Serial No. 31.)

1894.

12195

83 FEB. 94

From The Deputy Commissioner Kolar District R 2335

Section
File No 8-91
Serial No 17

To The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore

Dated 31st January 94

Despatched 7-2-94

Received

Enclosures No. 1

General No. 2679
No. 618

Has the honor to submit herewith for sanction a statement showing the irrigation cess on Shanbhog Service Inam lands amounting to Rupees 18-12-4 to be remitted in the Mulbagal Talook

To,
The same no 21135 / R 2256 of 5/6/94.

Deputy Commissioner

Rev
LA 8/2/94

Rec
16-2-94

N 8/2

Statement [illegible] written off the account in the Mulbagal Taluk.

Hobli	Village	Serial No.	Name of defaulter or Khatedar.	Years to which the balance pertains	Particulars of balance such as Land revenue &c.	Amount under each head.	Total.	Grounds of recommendation by the Deputy Commissioner.	Dewan's order
Yéladúrú	Kolatur	1	Shanbog Bhimappa	1892-93	Irrigation cess.	4 15 7	4 15 7	Shanbogs in this Taluk are paid Pakka Potagi and they have to pay the full assessment on their service Inam lands. The irrigation cess hitherto separately collected on their service Inam lands is merged in the full survey assessment thereof. Hence the amount shewn in this statement is recommended to be written off the account.	
	Lakshmisagara	2	Do Subramaiya	Do	Do	1 5 2	1 5 2		
	Yédatur	3	Do Kasiram Hazari	Do	Do	5 12 9	5 12 9		
	Uttanur	4	Do Seshamma	Do	Do	2 2 2	2 2 2		
	Gajjanhalli	5	Do Vasantappa	Do	Do	2 11 3	2 11 3		
Bairakúrú	Sigéhosahalli	6	Do Madwakon	Do	Do	" 9 8	" 9 8		
Mulbagal	Marandahalli	7	Do Bhimanna	Do	Do	1 3 9	1 3 9		
					Total	18 12 4	18 12 4		

Checked
[illegible]

Dy. Commr's Office
Kolar District
6th February 1894

[illegible]
for Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District

[illegible]

Service Inam lands in the Chikballapur Taluk has not yet been finally disposed. The further report called for from the Dy Commr. Kolar (vide this office docket No. 4873/R.502) has not yet been received —

SS.
2-6-94

Cf — Issue a reminder at once to the Dy Com
[illegible]
2/6/94

Draft reminder subd for appl

SS.
2-6-94

× Subd. The reminder was sent. The report received was subd. Draft calling for a further report is now awaiting approval. ~~in file no.~~ ⊕ The further report may be awaited

× Was this reminder sent & any report since received? If not send a reminder also state that no orders can be passed on this application to be found till the other reference disposed of
[illegible]
4/3/95

Yes [illegible]
12/3/95

[illegible]
13.3.95 ⊕

Recd [illegible] put up
[illegible] 2/11/95

Renewal records.

Some time ago, orders were passed declining to remit the irrigation cesses on the Terrace Inams ~~[illegible]~~ in the Checka Gallapur Taluk. The Head acctt wants them in connection with these papers. Please put up.

AS

1.3-94

References put up

[illegible] 10/5

[illegible] Rec'd 1-6-94

Submitted.

The question of remitting the Irrigation

P.T.O

1894

Section 9

File No. 3 — 91

To The Dy: Commr.
Kolar District

Dated 5/7 6. 94

No. 21135
R 2256.

In drawing the attention of the Dy: Commr. to this office docket No. 4873 / R.502 of 13th Sept. 1893. calling for further information in connection with the proposal for the refund of half the difference between the Potagi payable to Shanbogue Nandigiriappa of the Gudibanda Sub Taluk & the assessment of the Inam lands held by him in Peresandra & 4 other villages of the Chikballapur Taluk and also the Irrigation cess levied on his Inam lands, has the honor to request that a very early reply

may

be Submitted ~~to the~~ in the ~~above~~ Matter

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

"By Order"

5/8/94

for Chief Secretary

(General Serial No. 30.)

1894. 6284

R 17.55

Section 48
File No. 3-9
Serial No. 19

From The Deputy Commissioner Kolar district

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

Memo & draft sanctioning the refund submitted.

21/1/95

Dated 8th November 1894

Despatched 17 — " —

Received

Enclosures No. 2 & record

General No. 1810
No. 416

His Docket No. 4873 / R 502 dated the 13th September 1893 is calling for certain explanation in connection with this office proposal for the refund of half the difference between the Potagi payable to Shanbogue Nandigiriappa of the Gudibanda Sub Taluk and the assessment of the Inam lands held by him in Periasandra and 4 other Villages of the Chickaballapur Taluk and also the Irrigation Cess levied on his Inam lands.

Adverting to the above, has the honor to submit for information the accompanying copies of letters as per margin from Chikkaballapur Sub Division officer on the subject and to state that as local cess is levied on the full Survey Valuation, the Shanbogue in question is not entitled to any refund on that account —

A file of original papers as per Forest attached is also enclosed for reference and obliging return —

No. 412 dated 11th April 1894
No. 179 dated 30th October 1894

for Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District

Chickaballapur Sub Division Office
Dated 11th April 1894
Despd: 12th. do-

To No: 412

From
The Sub Division Officer
Chickaballapur.

To
The Deputy Commissioner
Kolar.

Sir,

With reference to your docket No: 1901/178 of the 1st December last regarding the proposed refund of "Soote" and Irrigation cess levied from the Shan-bogue Inam land of Nandigiriappa, I have the honor to forward the Amildar's Ithila No: 614 dated 16-1-94.

2. There are two questions involved in the case dealing with the first of them namely:- Reduction claimed on account of "Soote" or half profits, I have to state that the Survey Assessment on the Inam lands was Rs 51-4-. The Potgy which has been settled for the villages, for which these lands had been originally assigned as Service Inam is Rs 42-8-. Under para XXIII of the Patels and Shanbhogue's Remuneration Rules, one half of the excess of the Survey Assessment over the Potgi should have been deducted in favor of the Shanbhogue. In other words Rs 42-8- + Rs 4-6-

or

or only Rs 46-14-0 should have been recovered. This was not done. The whole of the Survey Assessment Rs 51-4-0 was being collected for the years Parthiva to Virodhy. The refund claimed relates to these 5 years at the rate of Rs 4-6-0 per year, the excess collected. In Vikrutty the Shanbhogue resigned land bearing Survey assessment Rs 6/., reducing the total Survey assessment to Rs 45-4-0 and the half profits to Rs 1-6-0 for that year. The total refund amounts to Rs 23-4-0

3. — The second question relates to the Irrigation Cess. On this question, I beg to state, with due deference to so high an authority as the Superintendent of Survey that Para XVII of the Survey Guarantee as revised by the Proceedings of 1887, could not have meant to impose the Equivalent Irrigation Cess on Shanbhogue Inam lands in addition to that Cess merged in the Survey assessment imposed on them. It would amount to levying the Cess twice over from the same land though under two garbs. At any rate the complaint on the part of the Shanbhogues is well founded and deserves to be redressed. In the case under notice, a separate Irrigation cess was being levied for 5 years from Parthiva to Virodhy at Rs 1-1-5 making a total of Rs 5-7-1 of which only 5-6-10 has been actually collected as will be seen from the Treasury certificate. From Vikrutty under the order of the

the Deputy Commissioner No: 232 [illegible]
cess ceased to be collected. No refund is thus due for 1890-91.

4. — The Survey settlement took place in the Gudibanda Taluk in 1876-77, but the Pucka Bhgi came into force in 1885-86. The marginal statement gives particulars of the land in question.

5. — The total amount of refund claimed is thus Rs 28-10-10 being half profits, Rs 23-4-0 and Rs 5-6-10 the excess of Irrigation Cess collected.

Shave etc
Sd/ A-Kristnaswamiengar
Sub Division officer
Chickaballapur

Copy

[signature]
For Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District

Survey No: 221, 286 — Class of Land: Wet. — Extent: 2-10, 0-26, 2-36 — Assessment: 15-0-0, 3-8-0, 18-8-0 — Irrigation Cess 1-1-5

From

The Sub-Division officer
Chickballapur

To

The Deputy Commissioner
Kolar

Dated 30th October
Recd: 2nd. Novr: } 1894

Incl: No: One Kanarese File.

Genl: No: 179.

Sir,

With reference to your docket No: 1521/979 dated the 15th instant, and in Continuation of this office No: 412 of the 12th April last, I have the honor to state that the 2nd para of the Chief Secretary's docket refers to the question of the local cess on the excess amount of Rs 23-4-0 recovered from Shanbhogue Nandigirappa and proposed to be refunded to him. On this point, I have to state that local cess is levied on the Survey valuation of Inam lands and not on the actual amount recovered in the shape of Jodi or otherwise. The Shanbhogue has therefore no

[illegible] to the refund of
levied on his service Inam anymore than
holders of other Inams. It is on this ground
that the local cess on the excess recovered
from the Shanbhogue has not been
included in the refund bill

2. The Enclosures are returned.

I have &c

Sgd/ A. Krishnaswamiengar
Sub Dt Officer
Chick Ballapur

Copy

[illegible]
For Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District

Inam

[illegible]

91

...tion LR

... No 3-91

... No 207

To.

(11)

The Deputy Commr.
Kolar District.

No. 14834 / R 302-92 & 14/3/95
Dated. In V.P. 12

The Deputy Commr's docket No. 1810/416 & 8th Novr./94 on the Subject of the claim of Shanbogue Naindi Giriappa of the Gudibanda Sub Taluk to the refund of the Irrign. cess levied on his Inam lands in Piresandra and 4 other villages of the Chikballapur Taluk —

In returning the papers recd. with the ~~With reference to the~~ above, has the honor to request the Dy. Commr. to report whether during the period for which the refund of the equivalent of the irrigation cess is

the Patel were treated in the same manner as other ryots in this matter, or whether there was any special feature in the case of the village officials exempting them from paying such cess. Govt. observe that if there is no such special feature, the only profit the Shanbogue is entitled to is (one half of the difference between the assessment and the ~~Jodi~~ Potgi amount) The argument that because the irrigation cess is amalgamated with the wet assessment, the levy of it under any name would be levying the cess twice over would have no special force applicable only to

325

2 June 1921 Mr. Treasury Officer

the village of
they in common with
the ryots of the village
were in the habit of
paying the cess, there
is no reason for refund-
ing any portion of it
to the village Shanbogue
alone. The Dy: Commr.
is requested to submit
further explanation on
the points.

By order

14/3/95 13/3

Offg: Chief Secy.

12/3/95

Gen. 31.]

1895

REVENUE DEPARTMENT
Gl. No. 4190
R. No. 2797
27 NOV. 95
DEWAN'S OFFICE.

From The Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District

To Revenue
The Secretary to the
Government ~~Dewan~~ of Mysore.

Rev

Hd. Acctt. 27/11/95

Submitted with office note & draft for orders.

17/12/95

Dated 25th November 1895.
Camp Bowringpet.

Despatched 26th

Received

General No. 1961
No. 512

Enclosure No. 1 & 1 file

Chief secretary's docket No 14834 (11) R7302-92 dated 14th March 1895 regarding the claim of Shanbhog Nandigiriappa of the Gudibanda Sub Taluk to the refund of the Irrigation cess & levied on his Inam lands in Peresandra and 4 other villages of the Chickballapur Taluk.

Ad

Referring to the above,
has the honor to submit
herewith for information
copy of the Chickballa-
pur Sub Division officer's
docket no 107 dated 4th
Instant furnishing
further explanation
on the subject.

The file of original
papers connected with
the case as per accom-
panying Jerist is
also forwarded for
further reference and
obliging return when
no longer required.

Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District.

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

From,
The Sub Division Officer
Chick Callapur

To,
The Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District.

Dated 4th November 1895.

General No 107.

Enclosure No 1 file.

Deputy Commissioner's endorsement No 3316/2088 dated 19th March last giving cover to Chief Secretary's letter 14834/RF302-72 dated 14th c Idem calling for further information in regard to the refund of irrigation cess levied on the shanbhog service Inam land of one Nandigiriappa.

In reply has the honor to state that prior to Pukka Potgi settlement in 1885/86 irrigation cess was levied on the Inam in question, but though, subsequent to the introduction of

2 June 1892 Mr Treasury Officer
Kolar District

of Pukka Potgi, full assessment was charged on this land which was still nominally treated in the accounts as Inam, yet the levy of the cess did not cease owing to some misunderstanding. The ordinary Kandayam ryots in this and other villages of the Taluk do not pay any separate irrigation cess the same being merged in the Akar. The extra amount thus levied since 1885/86 viz Rs 5-6-10 has therefore to be refunded.

2. Although the Shanbhog was entitled to one half of the difference between the assessment and the Potgi amount, yet he paid the assessment in full during the said 5 years. This difference being Rs 8-12-4 per annum, half of this for the five years amounts to Rs 21-14-4. In 1890-91, the Shanbhog resigned one of the lands and paid only

only Rs 2-12-4 in excess. He is therefore entitled to one half of this amount also (Re 1-6-4).

3. The vernacular papers are re-transmitted.

(sd) B. G. Krishnaiengar
Sub Division Officer
Chicka Ballapur.

'Copy'

[signature]
Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District.

SK.

5-
District
325-

[illegible] 1890-91. H. [illegible] Kolar
2 June 1921 [illegible] Treasury Officer

(Financial Serial No. 145.)

Kolar DISTRICT.

Refund bill for the year 189/—9

Heads of Charge.	Amount.			Remarks.
Excess amount of assessment recovered from Nandigiriappa Shanbogue holding Service Inam lands in Peresundra and other villages of Chicka-ballapur Taluk from Parthiva to Vikruti as explained in the column of remarks.	28	10	10	Nandigiriappa Shanbogue hold Inam lands in Peresundra and ot villages of Chickaballapur Taluk bear an annual assessment of Rs 51-4 thereon. He gets Rs 42-8-0 as Pu Potigi. The excess amount of Rs 8 is being levied annually witho Remission of Rs 4-6-0 as per Ta Takta. Hence he claims the re of money realized in excess inclu of Irrigation cess — from Parthi Vikruti.
	Deduct Irrigation cess disallowed			
	5	6	10	
	Balance sanctioned to be refunded Rupees twenty three & annas four only (23-4-0)			

Certified that the amount of Rs 28-10-10 has as verified by the amildar of Chickballapur been credited in the Treasury accounts under the head I Land Revenue (1) Pyotwar in the months of the year 1885-86 to 1890-91.

Total Inam Beriz for 6 years Rs 301-

Local cess 18-

Irrigation cess 5-

Deputy Commissioner, District.

325-

DISTRICT,

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE,

Dated 189

H. Jimmal Krishna Rao

2 June 192 Mr. Treasury Officer Kolar

This amount has been recovered and credited in the Treasury accou
as detailed below under Heads Ryotwar and Irrigation Cess.

Parthiva	55-7-8
Vya	55-9-8
Sarvajit	55-9-9
Sarvadhari	55-9-9
Virodhi	55-6-3
Vikruti	48-1-3
	325-12-4

Potigi paid for 6 years Rs 255.
Recovered in excess during the period 4
of this Rs 23-4-0 together with Rs 5
alluded to above, making a total
Rs 28-10-10 has to be refunded-V
para XXIV of the Patel and Shanbog
Remuneration rules, and Dewan's
Proceedings No 950-60 dated 16th Septe
and No 1764-5-50 dated 5th July 1887

Note

Vide further particulars in the Kanarese Statements
which exhibits the collections of each day with credit ce
of the Treasury officer.

Dated 26th April 92
Chickballapur -

Sub Division Off

Proceedings of the Govt of Mysore

(12)

Read:-

Correspondence ending with the Kolar Deputy Comrs docket No 1961/512 of 25th November 1895 submitting a further report in regard to the refund bill in favor of Shanbogue Nandigiriappa, for Rs. 28-10-10 being the excess amount of assessment & Irrigation cess recovered from him on the service Inam lands held by him in the Peresandra & other 4 villages in the Chikballapur Taluk.

Order thereon:- No 8532 (Fl). R.F. 302-92 of 18/3/96 In 2 files

The proposed refund of the Irrigation cess of (Rs. 5-6-10) ~~merged in the wet assessment of the service Inam lands in question which is stated to have been~~ recovered from Shanbogue Nandigiriappa in addition to the survey assessment due on his service Inam lands ~~thereon~~, cannot be sanctioned inasmuch as section XVII of the Survey Guarantee as revised by

& 1st September 1887 does not permit of any exceptional treatment in the case of service Inams. The said amount being therefore deducted from the total sum of the bill viz Rs. 28-10-10, the balance of Rs. 23-4-0 represents, according to the Deputy Comr's calculation, the excess assessment recovered from the Shanbogue in question. But the proper amount to be refunded is Rs. 26-4-0 as noted on the margin, for which a revised refund bill should be submitted for sanction

18/3/96
Secretary

18/3/96

Half of the profits for the 5 years ending 1889-90 at Rs. 4-6-0 per annum, viz - 51-4-0 (assessment of Inam lands) minus 42-8-0 (godi tax) & Rs. 4-6-0 (moiety of difference) = 4-6-0	21-14-0
Land assessed at Rs. 6 having been relinquished during 1890-91, the godi tax has to be reduced to Rs. 36-8-0 under rule XXIII of the patel & Shanbogue Remuneration Rules. This being added to the half profits viz Rs. 4-6-0, the total amount payable comes to Rs. 40-14-0. As the amount actually recovered is Rs. 45-4-0 the difference to be refunded is Rs. 4-6-0 & not Rs. 1-6-0 as calculated by the Dy. Comr.	4-6-0
Total	26-4-0

To
The Deputy Comr, Kolar District
returning vernacular papers received with Nos $\frac{3134}{744}$ & $\frac{1961}{512}$ dt 15th April/93 and 25th November 1895 respectively.

Proceedings of the Govt of Mysore

Read:-

Docket No 2679/616 of 31st January 1894 from the Deputy Commr Kolar District submitting for sanction a remission statement for Rs. 18-12-4 being the Irrigation cess merged in the assessment of Shanbogi service Inam lands in certain villages of the Kulbagal Taluk and stating that the amount was charged in the accounts notwithstanding the levy of the survey assessment of the said Inam lands in which the cess is merged.

Order thereon:- No 85-23/R.7.302-92 dt 17.3.96

The Government cannot sanction the remission recommended as section XVII of the Survey Guarantee as revised in Govt pro. No 3786-95/101 of 1st September 1887 does not make any exception in the case of Inam lands attached to village officials, which are liable to the payment of the cess in question separately in common with other descriptions of Inam lands.

C.
17-3-96

To The Deputy Comr, Kolar Dist

Office

Dated the 27th/28 March 1896.

To

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore
General and Revenue departments.

Sir,

Adverting to Government Proceedings No. 8532/R.7.302-92 dated 18th Instant declining to sanction the refund of the Irrigation cess recovered from Shanbhog Nandigiriappa on the Service Inam lands held by him in Perasandra and 4 other villages in the Chickballapur Taluk, I have the honor to submit as follows:—

2. The so called Service Inams of Shanbhogs and Patels no longer continue as such, the same having at the Pakka Potagi Settlement, been subjected to the payment of assessment and a cash re-muneration fixed for the officials. The retention of these lands in the accounts as Inams is simply nominal to prevent alienation of such lands.

3. The holders of these Inams are in no better position than the ordinary Kandayam

Submitted for orders with reference to the observations of the 2nd Councillor on the accompanying office note which were communicated to the Dy Commissioner in this office Pro: No 8532 of 18th March/96:
RZ 302-92

The arguments now adduced by the Offg Dy Commr do not appear to be sound -

The general question of modifying the existing rule as regards the separate levy of Irrigation cess on Service Inam lands being under the consideration of Govt, the Dy Commr may be informed that the orders already passed in the case of Nandagiriappa are final & cannot be altered, or the question of the refund of the Irrigation cess considered when the general question is decided one way or the other

7/4/96

8/4/96

Subd to Secty LR 16/5/96

The Dy Commr's arguments are not new. All that he now urges was duly considered before Govt passed their order. As Rule XVII of the Survey Guarantee

189 31 MAR. 96 DEWAN'S OFFICE.

From The Deputy Commissioner Kolar District

To The General and Revenue Secretary to the Government of Mysore

Dated 27th March 96

Despatched 28th

Received

General No. 3651
No. 965

Enclosure No. 1 file

Reporting on the refund of Irrigation cess recovered from Nandigiriappa on the Service Inam lands held by him in Peresandra & 4 other villages Chickaballapur

Rev.
3/3

C.B. 30/3/96

Reporting
6/4

now stands, there can be no differentiation between Service Inams & other Inams. Information is being collected with a view to ~~the~~ being passed as to the desirability or otherwise of modifying the said Rule which seems to ~~[illegible]~~ be rather hard on the holders of Service Inam lands. In the meantime, the refund recommended is inadmissible.

Subd to 2d Cr-4

Recd at [illegible] 21/5/96

18/5/96

Sanpo [illegible] 31.8.96

Draft & let me [illegible] 2-9-96

[illegible]

Kandayam paying ryots. The latter are not required to pay any Irrigation cess separately on their wet and garden lands as the same is merged in the Survey assessment imposed thereon. The officials in question are entitled to a like treatment, otherwise there would be a double recovery of the cess in their case which could not have been the object of Section XVII of the Survey guarantee as revised by Government ~ Proceedings No. 3786-95 / 101 dated 1st September 1887.

(Reference Section)

4. In the case under reference, the full survey assessment of the Shanbhog's Inam lands is Rs 51/4/0 of which Rs 18/8/0 represents the portion charged on his wet lands. This assessment (Rs 51/4/0) being more than the amount of the Potagi fixed for the office, viz Rs 42/8/0, the surplus of Rs 8/12/0 has been taxed by the imposition of additional Jodi equal to one half the profits on the lands, under Rule XXIII of the revised Rules for Remuneration of Patels and Shanbhogs.

lands of the Shanbhog in question as fixed by the Survey department is therefore Rs 42/8/0 + Rs 4/6/0 = Rs 46/14/0 and the amount of "Soote" (half profits) allowed in his favour is only Rs 4/6/0.

6 If the above amount of Rs 4/6/0 be taken & be payable on wet lands, the Shanbhog has only to pay Irrigation cess on this amount but considering that he holds a large extent of dry land it is nothing but fair that the "Soote" land should be considered & be included in such dry land and not in the wet land which has been fully assessed as in the case of other Kandayam wet lands.

7. In cases in which no "Soote" is allowed, no Irrigation cess is properly leviable as the holders pay full Survey assessment just as ordinary ryots.

8. I therefore solicit that the Government will be pleased to reconsider their orders in the matter.

9. The submission of the revised refund bill called for in the proceedings under reference, has been deferred pending the final decision of Government on this matter.

10. The Vernacular records bearing on the subject are herewith resubmitted.

I have the honor &c

Sir

Your most obedient Servant,

[signature]

Offg. Deputy Commissioner

Kolar District.

The Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District.

(17)

Read. —

Letter ~~His~~ No 3651/965 of the 27th of March 1896, from the Dy Comm Kolar District on the subject of refund of the irrigation Cess amounting to Rs 28.10.10 recovered in excess from Shambhoj Nandigeriappah on the service inam lands held by him in Peresandra & 4 other villages in the Chickaballapur Taluk

— ee —

In 1 file.

No 2631/R.7.302-92 of 5.9.96 Order thereon — ~~With reference to the above, has the honor to state~~ Government observe that all that the Deputy Commissioner now of urges was only considered before ~~and~~ the Government ~~declined to sanction~~ passed ~~the refund in~~ their order

(13)

No 8532/R7302.92. of the 18th of March 1896. To long as As rule XVII of the Survey of

there can be no distinction between service inams and other inams. ~~Information is being~~ Collected with a view to orders being passed as to the ~~[illegible]~~ desirability or otherwise of modifying the said rule which seems to be rather hard on the holders of service inam holdings. In the meanwhile, the refund recommended is ~~therefore~~ therefore inadmissible.

may be returned
N
not necessary.
omit

2. The enclosures received with the above are herewith returned

B.O. 5-9-96

[illegible] 4/9/96

[illegible] 3/9/96

N 4/9/96

Gen. 31.]

1896

REVENUE DEPARTMENT
Gl. No. 8811
R. No. 310
5 DEC. 96
DEWAN'S OFFICE.

From The Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District

Serial No. 4-6

To

The Secretary to the Government
~~Dewan~~ of Mysore.

Revenue and General Departments.

7-12-96

Rs. 9.12.96.

Dated 4th December 1896
Despatched 5th
Received

General No. 2168
No. 533

Enclosure No. 1 file

Government Proceedings Nos. 13 8532 / R.7.302-92 and 17 2631 / R.7.302-92 dated 18th March and 5th September 1896 respectively.

Adverting to the above, has the honor to forward herewith for sanction a revised refund bill in favour of Shanbhogue Nandigiriappa for Rs 26-4-- being the excess amount of assessment recovered from him on the Service Inam lands held by him in Peria

Sandra and other 4 villages.

in the Chickballapur

Taluk.

2. The entire original correspondence bearing on the subject is herewith submitted for ready reference and obliging return when no longer required.

[signature]

for Deputy Commissioner
Kolar district

1896

From

The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore.

Rev. & Gen. Departments. (19)

To

The Deputy Comr,
Kolur ~~Mysore~~ dist

Dated 17th December 1896

Despatched 19.

Received

General No. 5545

No. ~~76468~~
R.F. 302-92

Enclosure No. 1 & V.P.

~~This~~ No 2168/533 of 4th Instant, submitting for sanction a refund bill for Rs 26-4-- in favor of Nandigirappa, Shanbogue holding Inam lands in Peresandra & 4 other villages in the Chikballapur Taluk.

In returning the bill and ~~other~~ the vernacular records received with the above, has the honor to observe that the details of the amount collected & of the sum to be refunded to the party in question ~~as entered in the bill are not correct~~ have not been correctly entered in the bill in conformity with the calculations shown on the margin of Govt pro. No 8532/R.F. 302-92 of 18th March last. ~~Besides~~ Moreover ~~the~~ the Treasury certificate has not been obtained on the bill itself as per existing practice

[illegible] is, therefore, requested to submit a fresh bill showing the marginal details & ~~containing~~ with the District Treasury Officer's certificate ~~also~~, of Credit.

~~the Canarese bill prepared in the Taluk bring~~

By order

17/12/96

For Secretary

H.V.

16/12/96 17/12/96

Total amount collected as per ~~bill~~ Canarese statement prepared in the Taluk Office		325-12-4
Deduct		
Assessment due to Govt for 4 years from Parthiva (1885-86) to Virodhi (1889-90) at Rs. 46-14-, being the difference between the total assessment (51-4-) & the actual sum leviable under rules	234-6-	
Assessment due for the year Vikruti (1890-9) after deducting the Candayam of the land resigned	40-14-	
Local cess for the said 5 years on the total Beriz of Inam lands viz Rs 301-8	18-13-6	
Irrigation cess recovered	5-6-10	299-8-4
Balance viz excess amount recovered & which has now to be refunded		26-4-

Gen. 31.]

1897-98

96 647

9 NOV 97

DEWAN'S OFFICE

27-11-97

From The Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District

To

The Secretary to the Government
~~Dewan~~ of Mysore.

General and Revenue Departments

Dated 30th October

Despatched 27-11-97

Received

General No. 1761
No. 515

Enclosure No. 1 & record

Sub'd with draft
16/12/97

Government Proceedings Nos. 8532 (13) / R.7 302-92 and 2631 (17) / R.7 302-92 dated 18th March and 5th September 1896 regarding Submission of a revised refund bill for Rs 26-0-0 in favor of Nandigiriappa Shanbhogue of Periasandra and other villages in the Chikballapur Taluk.

With reference to the above, has the honor to Submit herewith for Sanction a revised refund bill for the amount as Called for in the above.

The

The records ending with the District Treasury Officer's No. 877 dated 3rd October 1897 are herein enclosed for reference and obliging return when no longer required.

The delay has occurred in consequence of a fresh certificate of credit having unnecessarily been called for the revised bill and is to be regretted.

M Krishnadeswar
Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District

95

Read.

Docket No 1761/515 of the 30th October 1897. (despatched on the 27th November 1897) from the Dy Comr Kolar Dt submitting for sanction, with reference to Govt: Proc. Nos 8532 (13) (R7 302-92) & 2631 (17) (R7 302) of 18th March and 5th September respectively 1896, a revised refund bill in favor of Nandigeriappah, Shanbogue of Periasandra & other villages in the Chickaballapur Taluk, for Rs 26-4-0 being the ~~moiety of difference between the full assessment~~ Excess assessment & Irrigation cess recovered from him on his ~~on the Shanbogue~~ service Inam lands ~~and the Potigi allowance~~ due ~~to be remitted to the Shanbogue under the Potigi rules for the aforesaid villages but recovered from the payee~~ for 6 years from 1885-86 to 1890-91 — ~~ee~~ —

Order thereon — The

Wl. & V.P.

5798-9. S 29/12/97
R7. 302-92 (Fl: 568)

The bill is sanctioned & passed on to the Comptroller for audit.

[illegible] 24/12/97 [illegible] 22-12-97

[illegible] 16/12/97 [illegible] 17/12/97 Secy.

To

The Dep: Commr. Kolar

Dt, with Ver: papers

The Comptroller with the bill

Kolar Deputy Commissioner's Office
Dated 14th January 1898

No. 3-91
Call No. To 30

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
Revenue and General Departments.

Sir.

Adverting to the delay in the despatch of letter No. 1761/515 dated the 30th October 1897, referred to in the preface to Government Proceedings No. 5798-99/R.F. 302-92 dated 29th December 1897, I have the honor to state that the draft of the letter under reference was prepared in the Revenue Department on 30th October 1897 but was not passed by me till the 17th November last and the fair copy could not be despatched before the 26th Idem for want of Treasury certificate on the refund bill that had to accompany the letter. If the letter had been properly dated the 17th November the date on which the draft was passed, there would have been no occasion to observe any great delay in the despatch of the same and the clerks have been warned to be careful to avoid such remarks.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most Abedt. Servant
[illegible]
Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District

copy NSH

Gen. 31.]

1897-98 R 3058

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District

To

The Secretary to the
Government ~~Dewan~~ of Mysore.
Revenue and General Departments.

Dated 14th January 1898

Despatched 15 — " —

Received

General No. 2275
No. 695

Enclosure No.

Explaining the delay in the despatch of letter No. 1761/575 dated 30th October 1897 referred to in the preface to Government Proceedings No. 5698-99/R7 302-92 dated 29th December 1897.

Cuts

Rw Ref

17-1-98

R7 302-92

Submitted for order
A.V. This may be filed
[illegible] 21.1.98